April 6, 2023

Shao-Lee Lin, MD Chief Executive Officer ACELYRIN, Inc. 4149 Liberty Canyon Road Agoura Hills, CA 91301

> Re: ACELYRIN, Inc. Amendment No. 1 to

Draft Registration Statement on Form S-1

Submitted on March

24, 2023

CIK 0001962918

Dear Shao-Lee Lin:

We have reviewed your amended draft registration statement and have the following

comments. In some of our comments, we may ask you to provide us with information so we

may better understand your disclosure.

Please respond to this letter by providing the requested information and either submitting

an amended draft registration statement or publicly filing your registration statement on

EDGAR. If you do not believe our comments apply to your facts and circumstances or do not

believe an amendment is appropriate, please tell us why in your response.

After reviewing the information you provide in response to these comments and your

amended draft registration statement or filed registration statement, we may have additional

comments.

Amendment No.1 to Draft Registration Statement submitted March 24, 2023

Prospectus Summary Summary Overview of Izokibep, page 3

We note your response to our prior comment one and reissue our comment. Throughout your filing you continue to make statements and predictions regarding the efficacy of your product candidates. As stated, efficacy conclusions are within the sole authority of the FDA and are assessed throughout the entire development process. Please remove all statements related to the safety and efficacy of your product candidates here and throughout your registration statement. For example:

"izokibep has

demonstrated clinically meaningful responses"

"we believe the

enthesitis resolution response of izokebep demonstrated in PsA could

Shao-Lee Lin, MD

FirstName LastNameShao-Lee Lin, MD

ACELYRIN,

Inc.

Comapany April

NameACELYRIN, Inc.

6, 2023

April 26, 2023 Page 2

FirstName LastName

also be indicative of similar clinically meaningful responses . .

"In the trial, both the 40mg and 80mg doses of izokibep demonstrated significant

improvements compared to placebo."

"The PsAID results for the overall population in this trial

revealed statistically

significant and dose-dependent improvements in all quality-of-life sub-domains of the PsAID instrument . . ."

Please note that you should present the objective data from your trials without drawing

conclusions as to whether they demonstrated efficacy. Additionally, note this is not an $\,$

inclusive list of the efficacy claims you have included in your filing. Please review your

filing thoroughly and remove all claims related to the efficacy your your product

candidates.

2. We note your response to comment three and note you have revised your disclosure to

indicate that orphan drug status does not guarantee that a regulatory authority will accept

fewer trials or accelerate regulatory review. However, it is not until page 167 that you

clarify that orphan drug status does not provide any advantage with respect to shortening

the duration of the regulatory review and approval process. The revised disclosure on $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1$

pages 3, 31, 124 and 127 continues to imply that orphan drug status may result in a shorter $\,$

 $\,$ process. Please revise to clarify that it conveys no advantage in or shorten the duration of

the regulatory review and approval process.

3. We note your response to comments 4 and 20. However, your revised disclosure indicates

that you are relying on a demonstrated response from an ongoing trial to determine that

the candidate may also demonstrate clinically meaningful responses for patients with

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{AxSpA}}.$ Please remove the references to "the enthesitis resolution response izokibep

demonstrated in PsA" and clarify that the FDA has not consented to your plans to conduct

only one Phase 3 clinical trial for Izokibep for AxSPA. You may indicate that you are

relying on data related to enthesitis from your PsA trials in seeking FDA approval to $\,$

proceed directly to a Phase 2b/3 trial without indicating your conclusions with respect to

the efficacy of that trial. Additionally, revise your pipeline table to clarify that you have

not completed a Phase 2 trial. Until the FDA clarifies that a Phase 2 trial is not required, it

is not appropriate to indicate that you have completed Phase 2 in your pipeline table on $% \left\{ 1,2,\ldots ,2\right\}$

pages 2 and 125.

Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Financial Information 3. Pro Forma Adjustments, page 100

 For the amount allocated to in-process research and development, please disclose a

breakout of the amount due to the lonigutamab and XLRN-517 product candidates,

separately.

Affibody Agreement, page 106

5. We note your response to comment ten. Please further expand your disclosure to clarify

Shao-Lee Lin, MD

ACELYRIN, Inc.

April 6, 2023

Page 3

what "certain marketing applications" qualify for priority review vouchers. For example,

clarify whether all candidates with orphan drug status qualify for priority review

vouchers. If not, describe the factors the FDA considers in determining whether to to

award a priority review voucher.

Business, page 123

6. Throughout your business section you compare your product candidates to efficacy $\ensuremath{\mathsf{S}}$

information related to potential competitors. For example, on page 139, you state that you

have used published data for all approved therapies for treatment of PsA.

While you may

indicate that ACR50 response rates at 16 weeks ranging from 35-45% was your trial

endpoint if that was the case, you may not present the comparison of your candidate to

other products or third party product candidates unless you have conducted head to head $\,$

trials. Please revise your registration statement accordingly. Our ongoing Phase 2b/3 Trial of Izokibep in HS, page 136

7. Please explain why you have provided the placebo response rates that have been reported

by by other agents in their historical clinical trials and clarify whether and how the $\ensuremath{\mathsf{FDA}}$

has agreed to allow you to use these results in your trial.

8. Please delete the statement that "achievement of HiSCR100 response at Week 12 does not

appear, to our knowledge, to have been previously for any other product." Ongoing Phase 2b/3 Trial in Uveitis, page 147

9. Please clarify that the ongoing Phase 2b/3 trial is your first clinical trial for uveitis.

Similarly, clarify this information by footnote or otherwise in your pipeline table on pages $% \left\{ 1,2,\ldots,n\right\}$

2 and 125.

You may contact Ibolya Ignat at 202-551-3636 or Vanessa Robertson at 202-551-3675 if

you have questions regarding comments on the financial statements and related matters. Please $\,$

contact Cindy Polynice at 202-551-8707 or Suzanne Hayes at 202-551-3675 with any other questions.

FirstName LastNameShao-Lee Lin, MD

Sincerely,

Corporation Finance Comapany NameACELYRIN, Inc. Division of

Sciences April 6, 2023 Page 3

cc: Anitha Anne., Esq.

FirstName LastName

Office of Life